

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,447	03/04/2002	Hideki Fukuda	2002-0328A	3193	•
	7590 11/14/2007 I, LIND & PONACK, L	EXAMINER			
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			TEKLE, DANIEL T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2621		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/086,447	FUKUDA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Daniel Tekle	2621		

	Daniel Tekle	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must time ly file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complifollowing time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	fidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of this A event, however will the statutory period for reply expire later	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh te of the final rejection.	ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of enterion a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd the corresponding amount of the fee statutory period for reply originally set	e. The appropriate exter in the final Office action;	nsion fee under 37 or (2) as set forth
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered	hecause
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beth</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL -324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Almanda Ellanda amana adma	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	•
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		taktor of Augus of Colle	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary
<ul> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ul>	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See the attached comment.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13.  Other:			
			•

Application/Control Number:

10/086,447 Art Unit: 2621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Argument

Applicant's arguments filed October 19, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant agues on page 2, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of the remark, "Kashiwagi teaches splitting and interleaving data from a continuous stream to form a new stream of data, but does not disclose or suggest the main unit formed from sub-units of the compressed stream and formed according to an instruction that is provided when the data size of sub-units exceeds a predetermined threshold.

In replay the examiner respectively disagrees. Kashiwagi et al. discloses a navigation pack defines the relationship between the interleaved packs must also be interleaved at a defined unit known as the pack number (column 23 lines 45-53). Therefore Kashiwagi anticipated the setting a predetermined threshold as claimed by defining the limitation of each interleaved packs.

Applicant argument on page 3 of the remark, regarding the real-time reproduction of broadcast signal; in replay the examiner did not find any evidence of the claim limitation contain "real-time reproduction" means. In addition Kashiwagi et al. disclose a live broadcast video and audio signal supplied to real-time from a video camera or other image sources (column 7 lines 16-21).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Tekle whose telephone number is 571-270-1117.

Application/Control Number:

10/086,447

Art Unit: 2621

Page 3

The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am to 5:00pm M-R and 7:30-4:00 Every

other F..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on 571-272-7905. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**Daniel Tekle** 

Marcha O Bank-Harold

MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600